

LOCAL POST WELD HEAT TREATMENT: THERMAL GRADIENT STUDY

ME STANDARDS FECHNOLOGY, LLC



STP-PT-095

LOCAL POST WELD HEAT TREATMENT: THERMAL GRADIENT STUDY

Prepared by:

Erik Jensen Ph.D., Project Engineer Cole Davis, M.Sc., Senior Engineer Quest Integrity USA, LLC



Date of Issuance: April 30, 2022

This publication was prepared by ASME Standards Technology, LLC ("ASME ST-LLC") and sponsored by The American Society of Mechanical Engineers ("ASME").

Neither ASME, ASME ST-LLC, the authors, nor others involved in the preparation or review of this publication, nor any of their respective employees, members, or persons acting on their behalf, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by ASME ST-LLC or others involved in the preparation or review of this publication, or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors, contributors and reviewers of the publication expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of ASME ST-LLC or others involved in the preparation or review of this publication, or any agency thereof.

ASME ST-LLC does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a publication against liability for infringement of any applicable Letters Patent, nor assumes any such liability. Users of a publication are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representative(s) or person(s) affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this publication.

ASME is the registered trademark of The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

ASME Standards Technology, LLC Two Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990

> ISBN No. 978-0-7918-7527-8 Copyright © 2022 ASME Standards Technology, LLC All Rights Reserved

TABLE OF CONTENTS

oreword	v
Executive Summary	vi
INTRODUCTION	1
METHODOLOGY	3
2.1 PHWT Experiments and Results	3
2.2 Model Geometry	6
2.3 Physics and Materials	7
2.4 Modeling Overview	8
RESULTS	9
3.1 Calibration Models	9
3.2 Prediction Models	1
3.3 Discussion1	7
CONCLUSIONS	3
eferences	4
ppendix A: Additional Modeling Details2	5

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Prediction Model Geometry Summary
--

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3-5: Calibration – Recalibration Using Phase II Model Against Data from Phase I (Case 6; 8 in.
Diameter)
Figure 3-6: Through-thickness Temperature (°F) Gradient at 12 O'clock along the Weld vs. HB Length
for 6 in. Nominal Diameter Pipe12
Figure 3-7: Through-thickness Temperature (°F) Gradient at 12 O'clock along the Weld vs. HB Length
for 10 in. Nominal Diameter Pipe12
Figure 3-8: Through-thickness Temperature (°F) Gradient at 12 O'clock along the Weld vs. HB Length
for 14 in. Nominal Diameter Pipe13
Figure 3-9: Through-thickness Temperature (°F) Gradient at 12 O'clock along the Weld vs. HB Length
for 24 in. Nominal Diameter Pipe13
Figure 3-10: Through-thickness Temperature (°F) Gradient at 12 O'clock along the Weld vs. HB
Length for 30 in. Nominal Diameter Pipe14
Figure 3-11: Prediction – Temperature (°F) Contours for Test 1 Geometry, 6 in. Nominal Diameter,
Schedule 8014
Figure 3-12: Prediction – Temperature (°F) Contours for Test 1 Geometry, 30 in. Nominal Diameter,
Schedule 16015
Figure 3-13: Prediction – Temperature (°F) Contours for Test 2 Geometry, 14 in. Nominal Diameter,
Schedule 120
Figure 3-14: Prediction – Temperature (°F) Contours for Test 2 Geometry, 24 in. Nominal Diameter,
Schedule 16015
Figure 3-15: Example Temperature (°F) Contours for Test 3 Simulations16
Figure 3-16: HB Length vs. Through-thickness Temperature (°F) Gradient for 30-inch Nominal
Diameter Pipe with Exponential Trendlines17
Figure 3-17: Summary of Through-Thickness Temperature (°F) Gradients at 12 O'clock for Schedule
80 Pipes17
Figure 3-18: Summary of Through-Thickness Temperature (°F) Gradients at 12 O'clock for Schedule
120 Pipes
Figure 3-19: Summary of Through-Thickness Temperature (°F) Gradients at 12 O'clock for Schedule
160 Pipes
Figure 3-20: Summary of Through-Thickness Temperature (°F) Gradients at 6 O'clock for Schedule
80 Pipes
Figure 3-21: Summary of Through-Thickness Temperature (°F) Gradients at 6 O'clock for Schedule
120 Pipes
Figure 3-22: Summary of Through-Thickness Temperature (°F) Gradients at 6 O'clock for Schedule
160 Pipes
Figure 3-23: Temperature Gradients at 12 O'clock vs. Heat Input and Output Areas21
Figure 3-24: Through-thickness Temperature (°F) Gradient vs. Hill Number at 12 O'clock along the
Weld